Exhibit F

HDV-14-2002 6U:58

MPC. LEGEL

406 497 2451 P.B

NorthWestern Energy 40 East Broadway St.
Butte, Inf 59701
Telephone; 406-497-3000
Facatrolid; 406-497-2535
www.notthwasternenings.com

November 15, 2002

The Bank of New York,
is Trustee under the Indenture
(as defined below)
101 Barplay Street, 8 West
New York, New York 10286
Attention: Vice President,
Corporate Trust Administration

Re: Company Order by NorthWestern Energy, L.L.C. (formerly known as The Montana Power, L.L.C. and successor by merger to (The Montana Power, Compility) under the Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Dependings, 8,45% School due 2020, 1850ed under the Indenture dated as of November 1, 1996 – Transfer of Wassett and Lindal Hilles to.

North Western Corporation

Lidles and Gentlemen:

Reference is made to the indenture, dated as of November 1, 1996, between The Montana Power Complying ("MPC"), and you, as Trustee (the Trustee"), as simplemented by the Hirst Subplemental Indenture, dated as of February 13, 2002, and the Second Simplemental Indenture dated as of Angust 13, 2002, each between North-World's Endings, III. It somether later with the Montana Power L.L.C. and successor by merger to MPC (North-Weslett Highest) and the Trustee (collectively, the "indenture"). This combany order of the first indicated in connection with alle must company restrictioning manage in the Later part of the first indicated in an account of the manage of substantially all of the assets and habilities of North-Weslett Indenture of North-Weslett Indicated as of November 15, 2002, including the assumption by North-Weslett of North-Weslett Effective obligations with respect to the Indenture and the 8,45% Junior Supering Debendures the December 31, 2036 (the "OUTP's Debendures") outstanding under the Indenture, by execution and delivery of the Third Supplemental Indenture thereto (the "Third Supplemental Indenture"), dated as of November 15, 2002

We are pleased to hand you herewith our Company Order for the execution and delivery of the Third Supplemental Indenture, under Section 1201 of, and supplemental to, the Indenture. Accompanying this Company Order are the following papers;

HDV-14-2002 00:59

MPC. LEGAL

486 497 2451 P.86

- Four counterparts of the United Supplemental Indenture, pursuant to Sections 1101(a) and 1201 of the Indenture, which have been executed on behalf of North Western Energy and North Western;
- an Officer's Certificate, excepted by an officer of NorthWestern Harry and of NorthWestern pursuant to Sections 102 and 1101(c) of the Individue;
- 3. dii Öpinion de Paul Hasilings, Janoisky & Walker LLP; special New York counsel in North Western and North Western Energy, pursuint to Sections 102 and 1203 of the Indexities.
- 4. an Opinion of Vice President Legal Administration and Corporate Scoretary, Northwestern.
- 5: an Assimption, Agreement (QUIP's Guarantee) pursuant, to which North-Western assimiles the oblightlying of North-Western Energy under the Guarantee, nursuant to Section 8:01 of the Guarantee and Sections 1101 and 1002 of the Indenture; and
- an Assignment and Assumption Agreement (QUIPs Agreements) excouled by NorthWestern and sagninging the obligations and liabilities of NorthWestern Energy composition with the Trust Agreement and the Expense and Linbility Agreement.

We request that you execule all four counterpairs of the Third Supplemental Indenture and, when so, executed, totall, two counterpairs for The Bank of New York and deliver the remaining two counterpairs to and selection. McCarrick, Paul Hastings, Jaholaky, & Walkender 1775 Bast 15318 Sheet, New York, NY 10022

Kandly acknowledge face in of this Company Order and accompanying papers referred to partie by signing and returning the copy of this lotter field of anather.

Yours very truly,

northwestern energy, L.L.C.

Vice President & Chief Accounting Officer

. .

1100-14-2002 88:50

INC. LEGAL

406 497 2451 P. 87

The undersigned, as Trustee under the Indenture referred to above hereby acknowledges receipt of the above-inentioned documents listed in the Colffigury Order of North Weslern Rhergy, L.L.C.

THE BANK OF NEW YORK,

Naffiel MaryBetli A. Lewipki Titlof: Vice President

Dilicul Nevember 2002

TOTAL P. Ba

EXECUTION VERSION

OFFICER'S CERTIFICATE

Dated: November 15, 2002

The undersigned hereby certifies that he is the duly elected and acting President and Chief Executive Officer of NorthWestern Energy, L.L.C., a Montana limited liability company (brinerly known as The Montana Power, L.L.C., specessor by inerger of The Montana Power Company ("MPC") and President and CEO of NorthWestern Energy Privision of NorthWestern Corporation ("NorthWestern"), and is all Authorized Officer, as defined in the Indenture, dated as of November 1, 1996, between MPC, a combrated finder like is State of Montana, and The Bank of New York as trustles (the Trustee) as supplemented by the First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of flebpatry 13, 2002, and the Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of flebpatry 13, 2002, and the Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of fact that is familiar with the Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 13, 2002, by and testweet NorthWestern Energy and the Trustee (collectively, the "Indenture"). He has read april is familiar with the second supplemental indenture in Indenture relating therein and conditions contained in the provisions in Articles 11 and 12 of the Indenture with the coverants and conditions provided for in the Indenture relating therein and instruments prepared in compliance with said coverants and conditions, as well as the Asset and Slock Transfer Agreement, dated as of November 15, 2002, between NorthWestern Conperation, a Delaware component ("NorthWestern"), relating to the transfer if substantially all of the assets of NorthWestern Energy to, and the assumption of substantially all of the assets of NorthWestern Energy to, and the Examination with respect to which is in the possession. EnorthWestern Energy, and in the online of the confidence of NorthWestern Energy, and in the online of the confidence of NorthWestern Energy, and in the online of the confidence of NorthWestern Energy, and in the online of the confidence of NorthWestern Energy, and the conditions have been complied with and, in this opinion as to whether or not such cover

The Transaction complies with Article 11 of the Indenture and all conditions procedent in the Indenture (including any covenants compiliance with which constitutes are condition procedent) as they relate to the Transaction involves compiled with

The Third Supplemental indentutes dated as of November 35, 2002 ferween NorthWestern and the Trastee (the "Supplement"), complies with Article 11 of the Indenture and all conditions precedent provided for in the Indenture as they relate to the Supplement (including any covenants compliance with which constitutes a condition precedent), including the execution and delivery of the Supplement by the parties thereto, have been coincided with and the execution of the Supplement is authorized or permitted by the indenture.

[Signature Page to Follow]

Executed as of the date first written above.

Name: Michael J. Menkon
Title: President and Chief Ruschilive Officer
of North Western Brief by 17 L.C. hind
President and CBO of North Western
Brief Division of North Western



Alan D. Dreurch Pice Prasident - Legal Administration & Curpornta Socretary Tulephanet (805) 973-927 Facstmile: (805) 973-927 alan Atetrich (Aportlavestern cont 125 S Dakota Avenue Sioux Falls SD 57104-6403 Felephone: 605-978-2908 Facsimile: 605-978-2910 Www.northwestern.com

November 15, 2002

The Bank of New York,

as Trustee tinder the Indenture
(fisidefined below)

101 Barclay Street, 21 West

York, New York 10286

Attention: Vice President, Corporate Trust Administration

Re: NorthWestern Energy, L.L.C. (formerly known as The Montana Power L.L.C., successor by merger to The Montana Power Company) QUIPs issued under the Trust Agreement dated as of November I, 1996 - Transfer of Assets and Liabilities by NorthWestern Energy, L.L.C. to NorthWestern Corporation.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This opinion is rendered in connection with the Indenture, dated as of November 1, 1996 (the "Indenture"), between NorthWestern Energy, L.L.G. ("NorthWestern Energy"), fernerly known as The Montana Power, I.E.C., successor by mergerito The Montana Power Company) and your as Trustee (the "Trustee"), as such Indenture relates to the transfer of the proporties and assels of MorthWestern Energy substantially as an entirety to NorthWestern Corporation ("NorthWestern"), putrauant to the terms, of the Asset and Slock Transfer Agreement, dated as a sounder 13, 2002 (the Transfer Agreement), between NorthWestern Energy and NorthWestern (substantial assumption being the "Trussaction"), and the assumption by NorthWestern and Indenture and the NorthWestern Malphilities and obligations of NorthWestern Energy under the Indenture and the NorthWestern Energy's Quarterly theorie Preferred Securities (the "Other") issued under the Indenture.

I am the Vice-President-Legal Administration and Corporate Secretary of North Western. As such, I am familiar generally with its corporate proceedings. In addition, in connection with the Transaction, I have reviewed, among other things, copies of the Indenture and the Third. Supplemental Indenture, dated the date hereof between North Western and the Trustee (the Third Supplemental Indenture"). I have also examined and ari familiar with the originals or copies, certified or otherwise identified to my satisfaction, of such other documents, corporate records, certificates of public officials, certificates of officers and representatives of North Western and North Western Energy and other instruments, questions of law and other matters as I have, considered necessary or appropriate for the purpose of enabling me to express this opinion.

I am a member of the bats of South Dakola and Nebraska, and my opinion herein is limited to the General Committee Law of the State of Delawaie (based solely on my review of a standard compilation of such laws) and the federal laws of the United States, and I do not express any opinion as to the laws of any other state or jurisdiction. I express no opinion as to any county, municipal, city, lowin or village ordinance, rule or regulation.

Based upon and subject to the assimptions, exceptions, qualifications and limitations set forth

- 1. NorthWestern is a corporation duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the State of Deldwate.
- 2 North Western has all right; power and anthority to execute and deliver the Third. Supplemental Indenture, and has duly anthorized, executed and delivered the Third. Supplemental indenture.

Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP, may rely union this opinion in the preceding paragraph for purposes of their opinion to The Bank of New York; as Trustee under the Indentities.

Very truly, yours,

Alan D. Dielrich

Vice President -Legal Administration & Comprate Segrelary

PaulHastings

Paul; Hapfings, Janolsky & Walkor LLP 75 East 55th Street, Now York; New York 10022-3205 telophons 212-318 6000 / lacsanilo 212-319-4070 / internet www.usuffiastijhgs.com



1.1

:

November 15, 2002

The Batik of New York, as Trustee under the Indenture (as defined below) 101 Harday Street, 21 West New York, New York 10286

Attention:

Vice President, Corporate Trust Administration

Re!

North Wastern Energy, L.L.C. (formerly known as The Montana Power L.L.C., successor by merger to The Montana Power Company)/QUIPs issued underside Trust Agreement dated as of November 1, 1996 - Transfer of Assets and Liabilities by North Western Energy, L.L.C. to North Western Corporation

Ladies and Gentlemen;

This opinion is furnished to you pursuant to Sections 102, 1101(a) and 1203 of she Indenture, dated as of November 1, 1996 (the "Indenture") between North Western Bries of Latio ("North Western Energy") formerly known is the administrative of the property and assets of North Western Energy and relates to the transfer of the property and assets of North Western Energy substitutingly as assumption by North Western Corporation ("North Western"), as such thanser relates to the assumption by North Western Corporation ("North Western"), as such thanser relates to the assumption by North Western Energy Counterly Income Preferred Securities (the "Ottps") is still indenture and the North Western Energy Counterly Income Preferred Securities (the "Ottps")

In rendering the following opinions, we have tead and sie familiar with the coveriants and conditions contained in Articles 11 and 12 of the Indenture, the coverants and conditions provided for in the Indenture with respect to compilance with which this opinion is rejudejed (the "Covenants and Conditions"), and the definitions in the Indenture relating thereto. In addition, we have examined originals, or copies certified to our satisfaction, of the various certificates and instruments prepared in compliance with the Covenants and Conditions, the Asset and Stock Transfer Agreement, dated as of November 15, 2002, by and between North-Western Energy and North-Western (the "Asset Transfer Agreement," together with all transactions contemplated.

Paul*Hastlings*

The state of the s

thereby, the "Tmusaction") and such other instruments and documents and made such examination as we have deemed necessary as a basis for an informed opinion. In such examination, we have assumed the genuineness of all signatures (except with respect to signatures of the bhild of North Western and North Western Energy on the Asset Transfer Agreement and other documents delivered to the Trustee in connection with the assumption by North Western of the altheory of all decuments submitted to us as originals; the conformity with the original decuments of all documents submitted to us as originals; the conformity with the original deciments of all documents submitted to us as copies and the authenticity of the original of such deciments. As to various questions of fact material to this opinion, information with respect to which is in the possession of North Western Energy, we have, when relevant facts were not independently established, relied upon representations or certifications by officers of North Western Energy. In our opinion, we have made such examination and investigation as its receivable to enable us to express an informed opinion as to whether on not the Covenains and Conditions have been complied with and, in our opinion, the Covenants and Conditions have been complied with and, in our opinion, the Covenants and Conditions have been

All capitalized terms set forth herein, and not otherwise defined herein, are used herein as

Based upon die foregoing, we are of the opinion that:

- The Transaction compiles with Article 11 of the Indenture and all conditions precedent in the Indenture (including any covenants compiliance with which constitutes a condition precedent) as they relate to the Transaction have been compiled with.
- 2. (a) The Third Supplemental Indenture, third as, of November 15, 2002, between North-Western and the Trustee (the Supplemental) compiles with Article 11 of the Hidentities (b) all conditions precedent provided for in the dideliging as they telate to the Supplement (including any coveragits compilately with all the constitutes a condition precedent). The supplement the execution and delivery of the Supplement bettle states at their condition precedent, with and (c) the execution and delivery or the Supplement is permitted or authorized by the Indentities.

We are members of the bar of the State of New York and we do not express any opinion as to matters governed by laws other than the laws of the State of New York and the Federal laws of the United States of America

Paul / buthing grangs by i Wallen LLP

Exhibit G

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE 3 IN RE: : CASE NO. 03-12872 (JLP) 4 NORTHWESTERN CORPORATION, Reorganized Debtor 5 MAGTEN ASSET MANAGEMENT 6 : CIVIL ACTION CORPORATOIN and LAW DEBENTURE : TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK, 7 Plaintiffs 8 vs. 9 NORTHWESTERN CORPORATION, : NO. 04-01494 (JJF) 10 Defendant MAGTEN ASSET MANAGEMENT : CIVIL ACTION 11 CORPORATION, individually and : Derivatively on behalf of 12 Clark Fork and Blackfoot, LLC, : Plaintiff 13 14 PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & 15 WALKER, LLP, Defendant : NO. 04-01256 (JJF) 16 17 18 Wilmington, Delaware Friday, October 21, 2005 9:33 o'clock, a.m. 19 20 BEFORE: HONORABLE JOSEPH J. FARNAN, JR., U.S.D.C.J. 21 22 23 Valerie J. Gunning 24 Official Court Reporter 25

1	APPEARANCES:		
2	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP BY: WILLIAM E. CHIPMAN, JR., ESQ.		
.3	-and-		
4	DAIN MAGMINGG TANGEGUV C WALVED IID		
5	PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP BY: JESSE H. AUSTIN, III, ESQ. and (Atlanta, Georgia)		
6	-and-		
7 8	CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST, COLT & MOSLE LLP BY: JOSEPH D. PIZZURRO, ESQ. and		
9	STEVEN J. REISMAN, ESQ. (New York, New York)		
10	Counsel for Northwestern Corporation		
11			
12	BLANK ROME LLP BY: DALE R. DUBE, ESQ.		
13	-and-		
14	FRIED FRANK BY: BONNIE STEINGART, ESQ. and		
15	GARY L. KAPLAN, ESQ. (New York, New York)		
16	Counsel for Magten Management Corporation		
17			
18	SMITH, KATZENSTEIN FURLOW LLP BY: ROBERT J. KATZENSTEIN, ESQ.		
19			
20	Counsel for Magten Asset Management Corporation and Law Debenture Trust Company of New York		
21	01 1011		
22	STORCH AMINI MUNVES PC		
23	BY: BIJAN AMINI, ESQ. (New York, New York)		
24	Counsel for Magten Asset Management		
25	Corporation		

		3	
1	APPEARANCES	(Continued):	
2		THE BAYARD FIRM BY: NEIL GLASSMAN, ESQ.	
3			
4		-and-	
5		PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP BY: ALAN W. KORNBERG, ESQ. and MARGARET PHILLIPS, ESQ.	
6	(New York, New York)		
7		Counsel for the Plan Committee	
8		NIXON PEABODY LLP	
9		BY: JOHN V. SNELLINGS, ESQ. (Boston, Massachussetts)	
10			
11		Counsel for Law Debenture Trust Company of New York	
12			
13		EDWARDS & ANGELL BY: DENISE KRAFT, ESQ.	
14		-and-	
15	BY: STANLEY T. KALECZYC, ESQ. and		
16			
17			
18		Counsel for Mike J. Hanson and Ernie J. Kindt	
19		MODDIG NICHOLG NOCHE C MIDDINI	
20		MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL. BY: ROBERT J. DEHNEY, ESQ.	
21		-and-	
22		DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL	
23		BY: D. SCOTT TUCKER, ESQ. (New York, New York)	
24		Counsel for Paul, Hastings, Janofsky &	
25		Walker, LLP	

APPEARANCES (Continued): LANDIS, RATH & COBB BY: JAMIE EDMONSON, ESQ. Counsel for the Ad Hoc Committee of Note Holders FINGER & SLANINA, LLC. BY: DAVID L. FINGER, ESQ. Counsel for Northwestern

MR. AMINI: Your Honor, Bijan Amini for Magten as well.

On the Paul Hastings case, we're in agreement with everything Ms. Steingart had to say.

THE COURT: You agree with it all?

MR. AMINI: Absolutely.

MR. SNELLINGS: Your Honor, John Snellings for Law Debenture.

We also agree with Ms. Steingart's argument.

THE COURT: All right. So now we'll hear from the folks that understand it's just a bad, bad idea.

MR. PIZZURRO: Good morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. PIZZURRO: Let me address one of the issues that your Honor started out with when it just came up, and that is why this case hasn't settled.

Your Honor is aware there was a mediation back in May and the mediation was unsuccessful. Those of us representing the debtor and Paul Hastings, and they can speak for themselves obviously, but we believe that there is a substantial possibility that a renewed mediation at this point in time with the change of circumstances in the case would be successful, and we have been trying to encourage Magten to participate in that process, so far without success. But I would like to make it clear, your Honor's

question why hasn't this case settled --

THE COURT: No. I didn't say why. I said it's clear to me that the case isn't capable of settling because of the effort that was put back in through May 12th, I think it was, of 2005, and then you all went out and spent a lot of money briefing, finishing up July and August.

MR. PIZZURRO: Right.

THE COURT: But I'm happy to hear why you think it didn't settle, but I want to be a little careful because there are some substantive arguments in front of me that I don't need to know too much about why it didn't settle. But I understand your position, that if Magten were really as reasonable as they say in their papers, that you all could go back to a renewed effort and this case might go away.

MR. PIZZURRO: That's correct, your Honor.

And we all welcome that and would like to have that opportunity.

THE COURT: Now, who would you go back in front of? Who would you go back with? Who would you want to go talk to?

MR. PIZZURRO: I think that -- I don't have the name and we don't have a particular individual, but I think that the parties could come, you know, between themselves, or among themselves, to agreement on a mediator, be

satisfactory to everybody. We'd sit down and do this and we'd do it on an expedited basis. That's the thinking that we have on this.

THE COURT: Now, Magten is not going to tell a Judge that you're not interested in having a brief settlement discussion, are you?

MS. STEINGART: Your Honor, I would never say that, but I must -- I must just make one statement for the Court.

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. STEINGART: When the mediation -- when first the settlement agreement occurred, when Northwestern first sought to renounce the settlement agreement, we sought renewed discussions. We came to a mediation with principals as everyone did, in good faith.

The mediation was not successful. Immediately after the mediation, we sought another mediation. As you know, we wrote to the Court. We asked for another mediator to be appointed for a variety of reasons, but even in addition to that, throughout the summer, so that we could avoid the briefing that we've all had to do, we requested mediation. We requested discussion. We sent letters.

Your Honor, it was just Friday night that my partner received a call from Northwestern after all of these matters were scheduled, after your Honor had decided the

withdrawals of reference that are currently before the Court and after the status conference had been arranged. Indeed, on the eve, because it has been scheduled for quite a while, your Honor, that Friday, late in the day, my partner received a call about mediation.

So, your Honor, you'll have to excuse us from having the point of view that this is a fairly tactical -THE COURT: A delaying tactic.

MS. STEINGART: Well, yes. And so to the extent that the Court is interested in sort of -- you know, because we all agree that settlement attempts are better and we're happy to do that, but we would urge the Court that to the extent that that is something that the parties would like to do, that the Court permit us to commence discovery on the issues --

THE COURT: We're not at that issue.

MS. STEINGART: I know that we're not there yet, but I don't think that these can be discussed -- that their desire for mediation at the eleventh hour can be discussed in isolation is all.

THE COURT: You know what happens with bankruptcy lawyers? I used to do Chapter 11's and then I was saved. .

I actually did first day orders. What was I thinking?

But after that, I know it was a few years of

experience, what I learned about bankruptcy lawyers -- I

mean, bankruptcy lawyers are excellent at settling matters and making decisions. We in the Courts screw it up, in my view anyway, which is why I'm glad to be out of the substantive work of Chapter 11's. But the reason I don't want you to talk about the discovery, and I know it's very limited that you want to do, is it's this simple. When these cases get to the next court, sometimes the transcripts of what is discussed isn't as clear, and I've watched a number of cases, not just from this district, where they make decisions based on a single statement somewhere or reference outside of the context of what we're really talking about.

So I want you to understand, I'm not cutting you off about that discovery. It's up here. It's in the back a little bit, because I thought what really joined you in the dispute was whether 9019 or the confirmation appeal go forward.

I'm going to give you a chance to talk about that discovery, but I just don't want the record to get messed up.

MS. STEINGART: Okay. I understand.

THE COURT: I want it to be real clear so when law clerks in the Third Circuit read it, they'll know what we were talking about.

So we're just talking a little bit about

settlement here that Friday night, apparently, your folks.

They're saying they are willing to talk to you but they don't want to be engaged for some delaying tactic. I'm sure you wouldn't come to me for some purpose of delay?

MR. PIZZURRO: No. I can assure the Court and I can assure Magten and their counsel that both my client and the counsel are acting in good faith. This is not a delaying tactic. In fact, we have offered and are willing to proceed with mediation on an expedited basis. We don't want to delay this. We want to get it done. We want to get it done quickly.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this question following up, because what they've essentially said is, they tried to give me some background, but they really didn't oppose your suggestion. They just want to be sure that the case is going to move forward. If by chance there's a little bit of strategic planning going on here for delay or strategy for delay, I asked you this before, who would you go to? Do you have somebody to go to who could be quick?

MR. PIZZURRO: Well --

THE COURT: Do you have a suggestion?

MR. PIZZURRO: Well, our suggests --

THE COURT: Give me your suggests.

MR. PIZZURRO: We have come up with -- we've got four names of people that we would present to Magten and,

Exhibit H

3 3 4 5 6	35 North Grand P.O. Box 6580 Bozeman, MT 59771-6580 Ph: 406-587-0618 Fax: 406-587-5144 Bonnie Steingart (Pro Hac Vice Application forthcoming John W. Brewer (Pro Hac Vice Application forthcoming FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON,	FILED GUTTE, HT FOR AFR 19 AM 10 57 PATRICK E. LUFTY, CLERK BY BEFUTY CLELK LLP		
8	(212) 859-8000			
9	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF			
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA			
12	BUTTE DIVISION			
13	******			
14				
15	MAGTEN ASSET MANAGEMENT CORPORATION,) Cause No. <u>CO-0Y-Z</u> G-ZU-RFC		
16	Plaintiff,	<u>,</u> .		
17	-against-	COMPLAINT AND		
18 19	KINDT, and ELLEN M. SENECHAL			
20	Defendants.			
21	Plaintiff Magten Asset Management Corporation ("Magten"), by its undersigned attorneys,			
22	hereby alleges in support of its Complaint on personal knowledge as to its own acts and on information			
23	and belief as to all other matters as follows:			
24	INTRODUCTION			
25	1. Magten is a creditor of Clark Fork and Blackfoot, LLC ("Clark Fork"), formerly			
26	known as NorthWestern Energy, LLC ("NWE"), and prior to that known as The Montana Power			
27	Company LLC ("MPLLC"). Magten brings this lawsuit to obtain redress for the wrongful actions of			
28	defendants Mike J. Hanson, Jack D. Haffey, Emie J. Kindt and Ellen M. Senechal, all of whom were			
	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	Page 1		

officers of Clark Fork on November 15, 2002 and enabled the transfer on that date (the "Transaction") 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of Clark Fork's key assets — electric, natural gas and propane utility assets (the "Montana Utility Assets") — to its corporate parent NorthWestern Corporation ("NorthWestern") without adequate consideration. The Transaction unjustly enriched NorthWestern by hundreds of millions of dollars while destroying Clark Fork's solvency and thus its ability to meet its obligations to Magten and its other creditors. The defendants, as officers of Clark Fork, had a fiduciary duty to Clark Fork's creditors not to engage in transactions that would render Clark Fork insolvent. In connection with the Transaction, Clark Fork purported to have NorthWestern assume Clark Fork's liabilities, but NorthWestern's other liabilities were so massive that, even after paying inadequate consideration to Clark Fork for the Montana Utility Assets, NorthWestern could not pay its own pre-existing creditors, and filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. As a result of defendants' actions, Magten is now owed well in excess of \$20 million dollars by a company which defendants rendered unable to meet its obligations to Magten. Magten seeks appropriate compensatory and punitive damages, in an amount to be determined at trial.

THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff is a corporation validly organized and doing business under the laws of the. State of Delaware with its principal place of business in the State of New York and is, therefore, deemed to be a citizen of Delaware and New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1332(c)(1).
- Defendant Mike J. Hanson is a citizen of the State of Montana and is believed to reside at 1805 C St., Butte, Montana 59701. As of November 15, 2002, Hanson was Chief Executive Officer of Clark Fork.
- Defendant Jack D. Haffey is a citizen of the State of Montana, and is believed to reside 4. at 2101 Garfield St., Anaconda, Montana 59711. As of November 15, 2002, Haffey was President of Clark Fork.
- 5. Defendant Ernie J. Kindt is a citizen of the State of Montana, and is believed to reside at 5 Amber Way, Butte, Montana 59701. As of November 15, 2002 Kindt was Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer of Clark Fork.
- 6. Defendant Ellen M. Senechal is a citizen of the State of Montana, and is believed to COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PAGE 2

4 5

б

7 8

9

10 11

13 14

12

15 16

> 17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

JURISDICTION AND VENUE This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 7.

1332, as it is between citizens of different States and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

reside at 75 Park Drive, Clancy, Montana 59634. As of November 15, 2002, Senechal was Vice

President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of Clark Fork.

8. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391, because all defendants reside in this judicial district, and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this iudicial district.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS The Montana Power Company

- The Montana Power Company ("Montana Power") was incorporated in 1961 under 9. the laws of the state of Montana as the successor to a corporation formed in 1912 through the merger of four regional electric companies.
- By the year 2000, Montana Power was engaged in activities related to telecommunications and energy related activities including activities in the fields of oil, coal, natural gas, and electricity.
- 11. In November 1996, Montana Power and Bank of New York entered into that certain Indenture for Unsecured Subordinated Debt Securities Relating to Trust Securities (the "Indenture").
- 12. Pursuant to the Indenture, Montana Power issued the Junior Subordinated Interest Debentures (the "Junior Debentures").
- 13. At or about the same time, pursuant to the Amended and Restated Trust Agreement (the "Trust Agreement") between itself and various other persons, Montana Power created Montana Power Capital I (the "Trust"), a business trust established pursuant to the Delaware Business Trust Act. Bank of New York was designated as the Property Trustee of the Trust as well as serving as Trustee under the Indenture.
- As detailed below, as of November 2002, Clark Fork had succeeded to Montana 14. Power's obligations with respect to the Junior Indentures. The Bank of New York has been COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PAGE 3

7

11 12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

succeeded as Property Trustee of the Trust as well as Trustee under the Indenture by the Law Debenture Trust Company of New York ("Law Debenture").

- The Trust is a special purpose vehicle which, pursuant to the Trust Agreement, issued 15. the Series A 8.45% Quarterly Income Preferred Securities ("QUIPS").
- The Trust holds 100% of the Junior Debentures, with a total face amount of 16. approximately \$67 million, which constitute its sole meaningful asset. The value of the QUIPS is entirely based on the value of the Junior Debentures, and thus on the ability of Clark Fork to pay interest and principal to the Trust. The amounts paid by Clark Fork to the Trust would then in turn be passed on by the Trust to the holders of the OUIPS.
- The Junior Debentures were not sold directly to investors; rather, purchasing the 17. QUIPS provided investors with substantially the same rights and the same potential investment return as they would have had had they been able to own Junior Debentures directly. The entire structure of the transaction was designed to put investors in the same position as if they had directly purchased the Junior Debentures, while providing Montana Power with a more favorable accounting treatment than would have been possible had the Junior Debentures been sold directly to the investing public.
- Accordingly, in Section 610 of the Indenture Clark Fork (as successor to Montana Power) expressly acknowledges that the holders of the QUIPS are intended beneficiaries of the Company's obligations with respect to the Junior Debentures and that if the Property Trustee of the Trust (the legal titleholder to the Junior Debentures) fails to act, any holder of the QUIPS can suc directly to enforce the Property Trustee's rights.
 - Magten owns in excess of 33% of the QUIPS. 19.
- In connection with the Trust Agreement and the Indenture, Montana Power also 20. entered into a Guarantee Agreement with the Bank of New York as Guarantee Trustee (the "Guarantee Agreement"). Pursuant to the Guarantee Agreement, Montana Power, as guarantor, agreed to pay to the holders of the QUIPS certain payments, to the extent such are not paid by the Trust, and to the extent the Property Trustee had funds available in a specified account. As with the Indenture and the Trust Agreement, Clark Fork and Law Debenture have succeeded to the original roles and responsibilities of Montana Power and Bank of New York respectively.

PAGE 4

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

- On March 28, 2000, Montana Power announced plans to restructure its business. This 21. restructuring involved the sale of its energy related assets, including its electric, natural gas, and propane utility assets, in order to allow Montana Power to focus on telecommunications.
- On September 29, 2000, Montana Power entered into a Unit Purchase Agreement 22. with NorthWestern, pursuant to which NorthWestern agreed to purchase control of the Montana Utility Assets, then owned by Montana Power, in a multi-step transaction.
- On February 13, 2002, Montana Power merged its energy assets into MPLLC (the 23. "Merger"). As a result of the Merger, MPLLC thereafter held and operated the Montana Utility Assets and succeeded to all of Montana Power's obligations with respect to the Junior Debentures and the QUIPS.
- Specifically, in connection with the Merger, on February 13, 2002, pursuant to the First 24. Supplemental Indenture, MPLLC assumed the obligations of Montana Power under the Indenture.
- In addition, in connection with the Merger, on February 13, 2002, pursuant to a letter 25. agreement, MPLLC assumed the obligations of Montana Power under the Guarantee Agreement.
- On February 15, 2002, NorthWestern purchased 100% of the equity of MPLLC, and, 26. thus, the corresponding control of the Montana Utility Assets, for \$478 million in cash. None of this consideration was received or retained by MPLLC. It was thus not thereafter available to Clark Fork to assist Clark Fork in meeting its obligations to its creditors.
 - On March 19, 2002, MPLLC was renamed NWE. 27.
- On August 13, 2002, NorthWestern entered into the Second Supplemental Indenture, 28. whereby it assumed on a joint and several basis with NWE all of NWE's obligations under the Indenture.
- On August 13, 2002, NorthWestern entered into an Amendment to the Guarantee 29. Agreement, whereby it assumed on a joint and several basis with NWE all of NWE's obligations under the Guarantee Agreement.
- On August 13, 2002, NorthWestern entered into a letter agreement amending the Trust Agreement, whereby it assumed on a joint and several basis with NWE all of NWE's obligations under PAGE 5 COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

the Trust Agreement.

The Transfer

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- On November 15, 2002, defendants, as officers of Clark Fork, carried out a scheme 31. to defraud, injure and deprive Magten of the ability to receive the benefits due to it from Clark Fork in connection with the Junior Debentures and the QUIPS, by, in the Transaction, transferring substantially all of Clark Fork's assets, the Montana Utility Assets, to NorthWestern without receiving adequate consideration in return. Clark Fork received no cash for the Transfer, and the consideration purportedly received was dramatically less than the value of the assets; over \$1 billion dollars in assets were transferred to NorthWestern, and only approximately \$700 million dollars in Clark Fork liabilities were purportedly assumed by NorthWestern. Indeed, with respect to some if not all of the liabilities purportedly assumed, NorthWestern was already a co-obligor with Clark Fork prior to the Transaction and/or Clark Fork remained obligated jointly and severally with NorthWestern subsequent to the Transaction, thus making any purported assumption of the liabilities in connection with the Transaction valueless.
- In particular, NorthWestern was already a co-obligor as to Clark Fork's obligations 32. with respect to the Junior Indentures and QUIPS prior to the transaction, and Clark Fork remained . obligated jointly and severally with NorthWestern with respect to the Junior Indentures and QUIPS subsequent to the Transaction. Indeed, Clark Fork requested Bank of New York (at the time still the Trustee under the Indenture) to execute a supplement to the Indenture purporting to release Clark Fork from its continuing obligations under the Indenture, but Bank of New York refused to provide such a release.
- As an immediate result of the consummation of the Transfer, Clark Fork was insolvent. 33. Stripped of its assets, Clark Fork was thereafter unable to meet its obligations with respect to the Junior Debentures and QUIPS and did not do so.
- Both prior to and following the Transaction, NorthWestern was itself insolvent, making both its August 2002 assumption of liabilities with respect to the Junior Debentures and QUIPS and any purported further assumption of those liabilities in connection with the Transaction of little or no value to the holders of the QUIPS and other creditors of Clark Fork. Even the hundreds of millions of dollars PAGE 6 COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

10

13

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

by which it was unjustly enriched by the Transaction were insufficient to overcome the massive imbalance between assets and liabilities created by its various other failed business ventures.

- The defendants all knew, should have known, and/or were reckless with respect to 35. knowing that Clark Fork would be rendered insolvent as a result of the Transaction and that NorthWestern was insolvent both before and after the Transaction.
- No interest on the Junior Debentures was paid by either NorthWestern or Clark Fork 36. since prior to September 14, 2003. In excess of \$2 million of interest on the Junior Debentures is now past due. If paid, that interest would have been passed on by the Trust to the holders of the QUIPS such as Magten. Moreover, the entire principal amount of the Junior Debentures was accelerated pursuant to the terms of the Indenture no later than September 14, 2003.
- Following the Transaction, Clark Fork retained only the Milltown Dam, a two 37. megawatt hydroelectric dam at the confluence of the Clark Fork and Blackfoot Rivers, under a license that expires in 2007, and the related environmental liabilities.
- Following the Transaction, NorthWestern operated the Montana Utility Assets as part 38. of NorthWestern's NorthWestern Energy Division.
- After the Transaction, NWE remained a subsidiary of NorthWestern and on November 39. 20, 2002, NWE was re-named Clark Fork.
 - Clark Fork continues to operate the Milltown Dam. 40.
- Clark Fork is entirely dependent upon NorthWestern for continued funding of the 41. Milltown Dam and its corporate existence, and NorthWestern is required, under certain agreements with Clark Fork, which require NorthWestern to pay any costs and expenses that arise in connection with the operation of the Milltown Dam.
- Less than a year later, on September 14, 2003, NorthWestern filed a voluntary petition 42. for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware.
- The Montana Utility Assets generate approximately 80% of NorthWestern's 43. consolidated EBITDA, although NorthWestern did not pay fair value for those assets, thus injuring Magten and Clark Fork's other creditors.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PAGE 7

6

9

12 13

15 16

14

17 18

> 19 20

21 22

23

24 25

> 26 27

28

- The Montana Utility Assets are now available to all creditors of NorthWestern, most of 44. whom were not creditors of Clark Fork and thus had not previously had any claim to Clark Fork's assets. Accordingly, Magten and other QUIPS holders are likely to receive little or no recovery for their claims in NorthWestern's reorganization plan.
- On April 8, 2004, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware 45. granted Magten's motion in NorthWestern's bankruptcy case for leave to commence an adversary proceeding against NorthWestern seeking to have the Transaction set aside as a fraudulent conveyance.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Fiduciary Duty)

- Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-45 and incorporates them herein by 46. reference.
- Clark Fork was a company within the zone of insolvency on November 15, 2002. 47. Accordingly, defendants, as officers of Clark Fork, owed individual fiduciary duties to Clark Fork's creditors, including without limitation the Trust and all QUIPS holders, including Magten's predecessors in interest, not to engage in any transaction that would make Clark Fork insolvent and thus unable to perform its obligations with respect to the Junior Debentures and QUIPS.
- The Trust and the QUIPS holders, including Magten's predecessors in interest, were 48. creditors of Clark Fork, and were injured by the Transaction which transferred the Montana Utility Assets to NorthWestern without adequate consideration, thereby rendering Clark Fork insolvent.
- The Property Trustee has failed to enforce the Trust's rights, so Magten has standing 49. under the Indenture to enforce both the Trust's rights and its own individual rights as successor to the QUIPS holders who were its predecessors in interest.
- Defendants breached their fiduciary duties to the Trust and Magten's predecessors in 50. interest by willfully and wantonly carrying out the Transaction and transferring the Montana Utility Assets to NorthWestern without adequate consideration, thereby rendering Clark Fork insolvent.
- Defendants also breached their fiduciary duties to the Trust and Magten's predecessors 51. PAGE 8 COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

28

in interest by purporting to assign Clark Fork's obligations with respect to the Junior Debenture and QUIPS to NorthWestern, when they knew NorthWestern was insolvent and would remain insolvent, and would thus be unable to perform those obligations.

- By reason of the foregoing acts, practices and course of conduct, the defendants have **52**. breached their fiduciary duties to the Trust and Magten's predecessors in interest, causing financial loss, in an amount to be proven at trial, but in excess of \$20 million.
- Punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial should also be awarded due to 53. the willful, malicious, and outrageous nature of these breaches of fiduciary duty.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against defendants as follows:

- Awarding plaintiff compensatory and punitive damages, in an amount 1. determined at trial but in excess of \$20 million;
- Awarding plaintiff all allowable costs, attorneys' fees and other litigation 2. expenses to the extent recoverable under law; and
- Awarding plaintiff such other and further relief as to this Court may be just, 3. proper and equitable.

DATED this 15th day of April, 2004.

GOETZ, GALLIK & BALDWIN, P.C.

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PAGE 9

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

DATED this 15th day of April, 2004.

GOETZ, GALLIK & BALDWIN, P.C.

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

E:\IIM\Karen\Magten Asset Mgmt Corp 4032\Pleadings\Complaint.4 15 04.wpd

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PAGE 10